UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,174	06/23/2006	Christian Bilgen	НМ - 703РСТ	4745
⁴⁰⁵⁷⁰ FRIEDRICH K	7590 03/12/200 UEFFNER	9	EXAMINER	
317 MADISON AVENUE, SUITE 910			BONK, TERESA	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/584,174	BILGEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TERESA BONK	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
·	/ 					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/23/06</u> . 6) Other:						

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

With regards to claim 1, the limitation that requires: applying a lubricant "before" the

rolling stock enters the roll gap of a rolling stand, is indefinite because it is unknown how the

continuous rolling stock can be lubricated prior to entering the roll gap because the material

would be continuously in the roll gap.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations: (claim 3 and 5) "the second

stand" and (claims 4 and 6) "the third stand." Also in claims 3-6, "each (desired) subsequent

stand" is indefinite because it is unknown what is "desired" or how many "subsequent" stands

exists.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3725

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bridenbaugh et al. (US Patent 3,911,704), as best understood. Bridenbaugh discloses a method for the rolling of rolling stock (4), especially for the rolling of hot-rolled wide strip in a finishing train or a continuous casting installation, in which a lubricant is applied directly to the surface of the work rolls (2), before the rolling stock enters the roll gap of a rolling stand, wherein a strongly adherent lubricant film (it is considered to be that the lubricant applied to the roll surfaces leaves a film) forms on the surface of the work rolls and leads to reduction of friction in the roll gap as an intermediate layer between the roll and the rolling stock, wherein the lubricant is applied over the entire length of the rolling stock, and therefore the lubricating effect acts over the entire length of the rolling stock (Column 2, lines 30-36).

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cofer et al. (US Patent 3,766,763), as best understood. Cofer discloses a method for the rolling of rolling stock, especially for the rolling of hot-rolled wide strip in a finishing train or a continuous casting installation, in which a lubricant is applied directly to the surface of the work rolls (32), before the rolling stock enters the roll gap of a rolling stand, wherein a strongly adherent lubricant film (it is considered to be that the lubricant applied to the roll surfaces leaves a film) forms on the surface of the work rolls and leads to reduction of friction in the roll gap as an intermediate layer between the roll and the rolling stock, wherein the lubricant is applied over the entire length of the rolling stock, and therefore the lubricating effect acts over the entire length of the rolling stock (Figures 2 and 5, Column 5, lines 55-61).

With regards to claims 3-6, as best understood, Cofer discloses multiple roller stands; that

Art Unit: 3725

is, second, third, and subsequent stands, and the lubricant is applied in all these stands (Column 6, lines 42-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bridenbaugh or Cofer in view of Kajiwara et al. (US Patent 5,768,927), as best understood. Bridenbaugh and Cofer both disclose the invention substantially as claimed except for wherein a lubrication system feeds lubricant from a reservoir to the work rolls or backup rolls through lines and nozzles is turned on 5-15 seconds before the rolling stock enters the rolling stand. Kajiwara is relied upon to teach a lubrication system that feeds lubricant from a reservoir (26) to the work rolls through lines (Figure 5) and nozzles (1) by a lubricant controller (50) that receives signals and commences spraying based on the presence of the material (Column 11, lines 15-27). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a controller to turn on the lubrication system 5-15 seconds before the rolling stock enters the rolling stand in order to limit the waste of lubricant while still reducing the friction between the rolls and the material, prolonging the life of the rolls and increasing the quality of the product.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,174 Page 5

Art Unit: 3725

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TERESA BONK whose telephone number is (571)272-1901.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached on 571-272-4480. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dana Ross/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725

Teresa M. Bonk

Examiner

Art Unit 3725